1. The Parties and Contested Domain Name

The Complainant is Philip Morris Products S.A., of QUAI JEANRENAUD 3, 2000 NEUCHATEL, SWITZERLAND.

The Respondent is jingtao liu, of choayang qu, beijing, beijing, 100102, CN.

The domain name at issue is <www.17i qos.com>, registered by Respondent with GODADDY.COM, LLC.

2. Procedural History

On 26th January 2018, the Complainant filed a complaint with the Hong Kong Office of the Asian Domain Name Dispute Resolution Centre ("the Centre") and chose to have the dispute considered and decided by a single-member panel in accordance with the Uniform Policy for Domain Name Dispute Resolution, approved by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) on 24 October 1999 (the Policy), the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy, approved by ICANN Board of Directors on 28 September 2013 (the Rules) and the ADNDRC Supplemental Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy effective from 31 July 2015 (the Supplemental Rules).

On the same day, the Centre, by way of email, sent a request to the Registrar of the Disputed Domain Name, GODADDY.COM LLC ("the Registrar"), at UDRPdisputes@godaddy.com, for verification in connection with the Disputed Domain Name. On the same day, the Registrar verified the following:-

(i) They are the registrar of the Disputed Domain Name.
(ii) The registrant of the Disputed Domain Name is the Respondent jingtao liu.
(iii) The creation date of the Disputed Domain Name is 22nd August 2017;
(iv) The Disputed Domain Name status is as follows:
    - Client Transferred Prohibited
    - Client Updated Prohibited
- Client Renew Prohibited
- Client Delete Prohibited

On 29\textsuperscript{th} January 2018, the Centre, by way of email, sent a Notification of Deficiencies of the Complainant and requested the Complainant to use English as the language according to Article 4 of the Rules.

On 31\textsuperscript{st} January 2018, the Complainant, by way of email, submitted a revised Complaint in English in compliance with the Notification of Deficiencies of the Complainant.

On 1\textsuperscript{st} February 2018, the Centre issued a Written Notice of Complaint (both in Chinese and English) to the Respondent informing the Respondent that the proceedings officially commenced and requested the Respondent to submit a Response (in Form R and its Annexures, if any) within 20 days (i.e. on or before 21\textsuperscript{st} February 2018) and forwarded the Complaint and its Attachments to the Respondent.

On 22\textsuperscript{nd} February 2018, the Centre issued a Notification of Respondent in Default and confirmed that the Respondent did not submit a Response with the Centre, within the required time limit.

On 23\textsuperscript{rd} February 2018, the Centre appointed Dr. Lewis Luk JP as the sole panelist for this case. The Panel considered that it was properly constituted and submitted the acceptance notice as well as a statement of impartiality and independence.

3. Factual background

The Complainant

The Complainant is a company that is part of the group of companies affiliated with and wholly owned by Philip Morris International Inc. (jointly referred to as "PMI"). PMI is one of the world's leading international tobacco companies, with products sold in more than 180 countries. PMI's unparalleled brand portfolio contains brands such as MARLBORO, the world's number one selling cigarette brand since 1972.

PMI is known for innovating across its brand portfolio. Over the past decade, PMI has been researching and developing a new portfolio of smoke-free products which it calls Reduced Risk Products ("RRPs"). One of these smoke-free products developed and sold by PMI is IQOS. The IQOS system consists of an electronically-controlled heating device called the IQOS Holder, into which a specially designed and manufactured tobacco stick, marketed under the brand names "HEETS" and "HeatSticks," is inserted and heated to generate a flavorful nicotine-containing tobacco vapor. The IQOS system also consists of an IQOS Pocket Charger, specially designed to charge the IQOS Holder. IQOS was first launched by PMI in Nagoya, Japan in 2014. IQOS is available in key cities in around 30 markets across the world. To date, the IQOS product has been exclusively distributed through the PMI’s official IQOS stores and websites.

The Complainant is the owner of the IQOS trademarks worldwide and this extensive portfolio includes a variety of different trademark registrations and active applications. Most notably, The Complainant also owns a portfolio of registered trademarks in China, including but not limited to the following:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trademark</th>
<th>Appl. No./ Reg. No.</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>App. Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IQOS (Word Mark)</td>
<td>15098769</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12 May 2014</td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iqOS (Word Mark)</td>
<td>15098772</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12 May 2014</td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQOS (Word Mark)</td>
<td>16314287</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5 Feb 2015</td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQOS (Word Mark)</td>
<td>16314286</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5 Feb 2015</td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q (Logo Mark)</td>
<td>16314289</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5 Feb 2015</td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(collectively “IQOS Trademarks”).

The Respondent registered the Disputed Domain Name on 22nd August 2017.

4. Parties’ Contentions

A. Complainant

The Complainant’s contentions may be summarized as follows:

i. *The Disputed Domain Name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights*

The Complainant views the Disputed Domain Name to be identical to its IQOS Trademarks as it contains the Complainant’s IQOS Trademarks in its entirety. The mere addition of the number “17” in the Disputed Domain Name does not avoid the likelihood of confusion caused to the public.

The Complainant adduced evidence in support of its assertion that IQOS as a brand has acquired substantial fame and recognition in China which demonstrated a high degree of actual public (e.g., consumer, industry, media) recognition, forming a solid corresponding relationship with the Complainant. The Complainant and its IQOS Trademarks enjoy a widespread reputation with regard to its IQOS products. Therefore, when the public encounters the Disputed Domain Name, it is very likely that they will wrongly consider the main part of the Disputed Domain Name "iqos" as having its origin in the Complainant’s series of registered IQOS Trademarks. Hence, the public will likely conclude that the website associated with the Disputed Domain Name actually belongs to the Complainant or at the very least, is closely connected with or authorized by the Complainant. The Disputed Domain Name will
create the misapprehension that the Respondent is authorized by the Complainant to offer its products or services via the Disputed Domain Name, which will result in public confusion.

ii. **The Respondent has no rights or legitimate interest in respect of the domain name**

The Complainant confirms that the Respondent is not duly authorized by the Complainant to use any of the IQOS Trademarks and that the Respondent does not have any legitimate interest in the Disputed Domain Name.

The Complainant also alleged that the Disputed Domain Name is being used by the Respondent in connection with a website that offers for sale what are alleged to be the Complainant’s IQOS products. The Complainant further confirms that the Respondent was not licensed or authorized to use any of its IQOS Trademarks or to register a domain name incorporating its IQOS trademark or to sell any of its IQOS products. These facts show that the Respondent’s use of the Disputed Domain Name is not in connection with a bona fide offering of goods or services.

It is also submitted by the Complainant that the name of the Respondent is recorded in the Registrar’s Whois database as “jingtao liu”, which is not related to “iqos”. There is no evidence indicating that the Respondent has been commonly known by the Disputed Domain Name.

iii. **The Disputed Domain Names(s) has/have been registered and is/are being used in bad faith**

The Complainant submitted that the Respondent registered the Disputed Domain Name as a part of a broad and wide-ranging scheme to associate itself with the Complainant and its IQOS products (which are being sold by the Respondent without the Complainant’s authorization) with a clear knowledge of the Complainant’s high reputation in China. The Respondent’s use of the identical coined term “IQOS” in the Disputed Domain Name cannot be considered a coincidence.

The Complainant also submitted that the Respondent has also set up and until recently was operating a website and a Wechat account associated with that Disputed Domain Name, heavily featuring the IQOS Trademarks, as well as copyright-protected materials created by and belonging to the Complainant and its affiliates, in an unauthorized, infringing and misleading manner. Besides, the Respondent has been operating a TMALL e-store named as “WeiPin Smoking Device Specialty Store” (“威品煙具專營店”), using the IQOS Trademark to refer to another product the Respondent sells.

B. **Respondent**

The Respondent did not submit a Response.

5. **Findings**
The ICANN Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy provides, at Paragraph 4(a), that each of three findings must be made in order for a Complainant to prevail:

i. Respondent’s domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which Complainant has rights; and

ii. Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the domain name; and

iii. Respondent’s domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith.

A) Identical / Confusingly Similar

The Panel finds the Complainant owns the trademarks of IQOS duly registered in China. The registrations are all valid and effective. The Panel finds that the Disputed Domain Name, which contains the IQOS Trademarks in its entirety, is identical to its IQOS Trademarks. The Panel also agrees that the number “17” inserted in the Disputed Domain Name does not change the overall impression of the Disputed Domain Name. Therefore the Panel finds that the Disputed Domain Name is identical to the Complainant’s IQOS Trademarks and is likely to cause confusion to the public. The Complainant has fulfilled the first condition.

B) Rights and Legitimate Interests

The Panel accepts the confirmation by the Complainant that it has no connection with the Respondent, nor has licensed or authorized the Respondent to use the Complainant’s IQOS Trademarks in its Disputed Domain Name or to sell any of the Complainant’s IQOS products. It is also accepted that the Respondent is not commonly known as “IQOS”. The Panel finds that the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the Disputed Domain Name. The Complainant has fulfilled the second condition.

C) Bad Faith

The Panel finds that the Respondent has maliciously registered the Disputed Domain Name with prior knowledge of the Complainant’s reputation and its IQOS Trademarks in China. The conduct of the Respondent, offering to sell the Complainant’s IQOS products (without authorization by the Complainant) on (1) a website; (2) a WeChat Account associated with the Disputed Domain Name; and (3) a TMALL e-store namely “Weipin Smoking Device Specialty Store” (“威品烟具专营店”)”, constitute a wide range of infringement of the Complainant’s intellectual property rights, inclusive of the IQOS Trademarks. The Panel finds that the Respondent is taking unfair advantage of the goodwill and reputation associated with the Complainant’s IQOS Trademarks and other IP rights, and intentionally attempting to mislead consumers into believing that the Disputed Domain Name, the websites associated with it, and/or its business are licensed by, have an association with or are otherwise endorsed by the Complainant.

The Panel finds that the Respondent has registered and used the Disputed Domain Name in bad faith. The Complainant has fulfilled the third condition.
6. Decision

The Panel orders that the Disputed Domain Name <17iqos.com> be transferred to the Complainant.

Panelist: Dr. Lewis Luk JP

Dated: 7 March 2018