



ASIAN DOMAIN NAME DISPUTE RESOLUTION CENTRE

— A charitable institution limited by guarantee registered in Hong Kong

(Hong Kong Office)

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION

Case No.:	HKT-1100003
Appellant:	Web Commerce Communications Limited
Appellee:	internet.bs

1. The Parties and concerned Domain Name

The Appellant is **Web Commerce Communications Limited** of Lot 2-2, Incubator Technology Park, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 57000.

The Appellee is **internet.bs** of 98 Hampshire Street, N-4829, Nassau, The Bahamas.

The domain name at issue is **<34508.com>**.

2. Procedural History

An appeal of First-Level Dispute decision on a Request for Enforcement was filed with the Hong Kong Office of the Asian Domain Name Dispute Resolution Centre (ADNDRC) pursuant to the [Registrar Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy \(TDRP\)](#) on June 2, 2011. Appellee filed an email response on June 15, 2011. The Appellant selected to have the case decided by a single member Panel. The ADNDRC appointed Sandra J. Franklin as the Sole Panelist on June 21, 2011.

3. Factual background

Appellant is appealing a decision rendered by the Registry Operator VeriSign, Inc. on May 24, 2011 on a Request for Enforcement filed by Appellant, which was denied. The record supporting the Request for Enforcement appears identical to the record supporting this Appeal. The record contains many documents that pertain to domain names other than the **<34508.com>** domain name and many untranslated Chinese documents.

Appellee's response contains a list of "concerned domain names" which does not include the <34508.com> domain name, and also contains untranslated Chinese documents.

4. Parties' Contentions

A. Appellant

Appellee states that the Agent (Century Netcom co., LTD) account was hacked into, the hacker created new emails, and then used those emails to authorize the transfer of domain names. Appellant does not provide a discernible trail of evidence in English linking its arguments to the <34508.com> domain name.

B. Appellee

Appellee's response contained a list of "concerned domain names" which did not include the <34508.com> domain name, and contained untranslated Chinese documents.

5. Findings

It is impossible for this Panel, based on the evidence presented, to determine what actions were taken by any given party concerning the specific domain name at issue, which is <34508.com>. Although the parties each submitted many lists, emails, Chinese documents, and other streams of unorganized information, no effort was made to give the Panel a correlation of the information in the documents to the arguments presented and, more importantly, none of the evidence pointed specifically to the <34508.com> domain name. Complainant did not carry its burden to show that the decision rendered by the Registry Operator VeriSign, Inc. on May 24, 2011 on a Request for Enforcement was erroneous.

6. Decision

For the foregoing reasons, the Appeal is denied.

Sandra J. Franklin
Panelist

Dated: July 14, 2011